

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/698,622	10/31/2003	Andrey L. Balmin	ARC920030042US1	3369	
MARC D. MCS	7590 04/05/2007 SW A IN	EXAMINER			
IBM CORPORATION, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW			PONIKIEWSKI, TOMASZ		
DEPT. C4TA/J2B 650 HARRY ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
San Jose, CA 95120-6099			2165		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/698,622	BALMIN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Tomasz Ponikiewski	2165		

.	LXAIIIIIO	Aironne					
·	Tomasz Ponikiewski	2165					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	lress				
THE REPLY FILED 28 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant.	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
time periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3 months from the mailing date</u>	e of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mphant Amendment	(F10L-324).				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	· · · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an o	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:		·					
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-64</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	·	•					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce becadise				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	JEFFREY GAFF					
	4	PERVISORY PATENT TECHNOLOGY CENTI	EXAMINER				
	L.	A FOUND FOR A CENT	L11 2100				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. Note. The newly added claimed limitations, for example "wherein the auxiliary structures include a number of indexes, a number of partial XML ndexes, and a number of materialized views;" found in claims 1, 22, 43 and 64 as well as newly added claims 65-67 raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search